arXiv:1506.05821v1 [math.PR] 18 Jun 2015

EXTREMES OF STATIONARY GAUSSIAN STORAGE MODELS

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI AND PENG LIU

Abstract: For the stationary storage process $\{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$, with $Q(t) = \sup_{s \geq t} \left(X(s) - X(t) - c(s-t)^{\beta}\right)$, where $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ is chosen such that $Q(t)$ is finite a.s., we derive exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right)$, as $u \to \infty$. As a by-product we find conditions under which *strong Piterbarg property* holds.

Key Words: Storage process; Gaussian process; Pickands constant; strong Piterbarg property.

AMS Classification: Primary 60G15; secondary 60G70

1. Introduction

Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, a.s. continuous sample paths and variance function $\sigma^2(t)$. Given $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, consider the stationary storage process $\{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$, with

$$Q(t) = \sup_{s \geq t} \left(X(s) - X(t) - c(s-t)^{\beta}\right), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

where $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ is chosen appropriately to guarantee a.s. finiteness of $Q(t)$.

The stimulus to analyze distributional properties of $\{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$ stems, for instance, from its straightforward relation with the theory of *reflected* Gaussian processes, its applications in widely investigated Gaussian fluid queueing models and, by duality, its importance in risk theory. In particular, for $\beta = 1$, by Reich representation [15], $Q(t)$ describes the stationary amount of substance in reservoir, where the inflow to the reservoir in time interval $[s,t]$ equals to $X(t) - X(s)$ and the rate of outflow is c.

Motivated by the above applications, $Q(0)$ has been studied in the literature under different levels of generality, e.g., [12], [8], [5], [9], [6], [7], [11]. Particularly vast interest has been paid to the analysis of storage models, where $X(t) = B_H(t)$ is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ and $\beta = 1$, leading to derivation of exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(Q(0) > u)$ as $u \to \infty$ in [8] and a surprising asymptotic equivalence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right) \sim \mathbb{P}(Q(0) > u) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right), \tag{2}$$

as $u \to \infty$, providing that $H > 1/2$ and $T_u = o(u^{\frac{2H-1}{H}})$; see [14], [4]. Property (2) is nowadays referred to as the *strong Piterbarg property*. In [2] it was observed that (2) holds also for storage processes with self-similar and infinitely divisible input without Gaussian component.

Date: June 22, 2015.

In this contribution we focus on asymptotic properties of

$$\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\psi_{T_u}^{\inf}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right), \tag{4}$$

as $u \to \infty$, for wide class of Gaussian processes X and ranges of T_u. As a result, we extend findings of [6], where the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(Q(0) > u)$ was considered. Moreover we generalize [14] and [4] where the exact asymptotics of $\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)$ and $\psi_{T_u}^{\inf}(u)$ were studied for fractional Brownian motion model with $\beta = 1$. As a by-product we find conditions under which the strong Piterbarg property phenomena (2) holds for general Gaussian X and β.
Organization of the paper. Some necessary notation are introduced in Section 2, whereas the main asymptotic results are presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we apply derived results to the analysis of $\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)$ and $\psi_{T_u}^{\inf}(u)$ for X being a sum of independent fractional Brownian motions. The proofs of main results are given in Section 5. The Appendix contains proofs of some lemmas that are of technical nature.

2. Notation

Throughout this paper we assume that $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, a.s. continuous sample paths, $X(0) = 0$ and variance function $\sigma^2(t)$ satisfying

AI: $\sigma^2(t) > 0, t > 0$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $2\alpha_\infty \in (0,2)$ and twice continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$. Further, its first derivative $\dot{\sigma^2}$ and second derivative $\ddot{\sigma^2}$ are both ultimately monotone.
AII: $\sigma^2(t)$ is regularly varying at 0 with index $2\alpha_0 \in (0,2]$.

Assumptions **AI-AII** allow us to cover models that play important role in Gaussian storage models, including both aggregations of fractional Brownian motions and integrated stationary Gaussian processes; see, e.g., [12, 8, 6, 5]. **AI-AII** go in line with [6], where the exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(Q(0) > u)$, as $u \to \infty$, was derived.
Recall that fractional Brownian motion $B_H = \{B_H(t), t \geq 0\}$ with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ is a centered Gaussian process with continuous sample path and covariance function $Cov(B_H(t), B_H(s)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right)$.
For $X(t)$ satisfying **AI-AII**, $c > 0$ and $\beta > \frac{\alpha_\infty}{2}$ we define the storage process $\{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$, where

$$Q(t) = \sup_{s \geq t}\left(X(s) - X(t) - c(s-t)^\beta\right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Note that assumption $\beta > \frac{\alpha_\infty}{2}$ ensures that $Q(t)$ is finite a.s. for any $t \geq 0$.
In order to formulate the main results of this contribution, following [4], let

$$\Phi : C(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

be a continuous functional on the Banach space $C(M)$ of all continuous functions on compact set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1$ with the norm $||f|| = \sup_{\boldsymbol{t}\in M} |f(\boldsymbol{t})|$, satisfying

F1: $|\Phi(f)| \leq \sup_{\boldsymbol{t}\in M} f(\boldsymbol{t})$.

F2: $\Phi(af+b) = a\Phi(f)+b$, for any $a, b > 0$.

Then, for a centered continuous Gaussian field $V = \{V(\boldsymbol{t}) : \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that $V(\boldsymbol{0}) = 0$,

$$Cov(V(\boldsymbol{t}), V(\boldsymbol{s})) = \frac{\sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{t}) + \sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{s}) - \sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s})}{2} \tag{6}$$

and

E1: $\mathbb{E}\left(V(\boldsymbol{t}) - V(\boldsymbol{s})\right)^2 = \sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s}) \leq G|\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s}|^{\alpha_1}$

with $G, \alpha_1 > 0$, we introduce *the generalized Pickands' constant*

$$\mathcal{H}_V^{\Phi}(M) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\Phi\left(\sqrt{2}V - \sigma_V^2\right)}\right).$$

We refer to [4] for the finiteness of $\mathcal{H}_V^{\Phi}(M)$. In particular, for $M = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, S_i]$ with $S_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$, and $\Phi(f) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{t}\in\prod_{i=1}^d [0,S_i]} f(\boldsymbol{t})$, we use notation $\mathcal{H}_V(\prod_{i=1}^d [0, S_i])$. Further, for $d = 1$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_V = \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_V[0,S]}{S},$$

providing that the above limit exists, where $\mathcal{H}_V[0,S] := \mathcal{H}_V([0,S])$. We refer to [13], [5], [6] and [4] for the analysis of properties of Pickands'-type constants.

We write $f_u(t) \Rightarrow f(t)$ for $t \in D$ meaning that the convergence is uniform with respect to t in the domain D as $u \to \infty$. By $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n$ we denote some positive constants which may change from line to line. By $\overleftarrow{\sigma}(\cdot)$ we denote the generalized inverse function to $\sigma(\cdot)$, $\Psi(\cdot)$ denotes the tail distribution of the standard Normal random variable. We write $f(u) \sim g(u)$ if $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} = 1$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we present the exact asymptotics of $\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)$ and $\psi_{T_u}^{\inf}(u)$. In further analysis we tacitly assume that the variance function σ^2 of X satisfies both **AI** and **AII**.

Let

$$\varphi := \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta})}{u},$$

assuming that the limit exists. As it is shown below, according to the value of φ, the asymptotics of $\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)$ takes different form. Additionally, we introduce $\tau^* = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(\beta-\alpha_\infty)}\right)^{1/\beta}$ and set

$$\Delta(u) := \begin{cases} \overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{u(1+c\tau^{*\beta})}\right), & if \varphi = \infty \ or \ 0, \\ 1, & if \varphi \in (0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Let

$$A = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(\beta-\alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-\alpha_\infty/\beta} \frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha_\infty}, \quad B = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(\beta-\alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-(\alpha_\infty+2)/\beta} \alpha_\infty\beta, \quad m^*(u) = \frac{u(1+c(\tau^*)^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta})(\tau^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $\varphi = 0$.

i)If $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

ii) If $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1(m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}\right)^2\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}T_u\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta^2(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that* $\varphi \in (0, \infty)$.

i) If $T_u \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

ii) If $T_u \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1(m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}\right)^2\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}T_u\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta^2(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that* $\varphi = \infty$.

i)If $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

ii) If $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1(m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}\right)^2\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}T_u\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta^2(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

The above trichotomy with respect to the value of φ goes in line with findings of Dieker [6], where the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(Q(0) > u)$, as $u \to \infty$, was derived.

The following theorem deals with the asymptotic behavior of the tail distribution of $\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u)$.

Theorem 3.4. *i) If* $\varphi = 0$ *and* $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right);$$

ii) If $\varphi \in (0, \infty)$ *and* $T_u \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right);$$

iii) If $\varphi = \infty$ *and* $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u^{1/\beta-1}\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{(1+c\tau^{*\beta})\Delta(u)}\Psi\left(\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^\beta)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}\right).$$

Combination of the above findings straightforwardly leads to the following corollary that deals with the *strong Piterbarg property* for Q, extending results derived in [4].

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that* $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to 0$.

Then

$$\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \sim \psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \psi_0(u).$$

Remark 3.6. *The relation* $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to 0$ *in Corollary 3.5 is optimal. Indeed, if* $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho > 0$, *then comparing Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, none of the asymptotic relation in Corollary 3.5 holds.*

4. APPLICATION TO HETEROGENOUS FLUID QUEUES

Consider the stationary storage model

$$Q(t) = \sup_{s \ge t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B_{H_i}(s) - B_{H_i}(t) \right) - c(s-t)^{\beta} \right), \quad t \ge 0, \tag{8}$$

where $B_{H_i}(t), 1 \le i \le n$ are mutually independent fractional Brownian motions with indexes $1 > H_1 > H_2 \ge \cdots \ge H_{n-1} > H_n > 0$ respectively and $\beta > H_1$. It is straightforward to check that $\sigma^2_{\Sigma}(t) := Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_{H_i}(t)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n t^{2H_i}$ satisfies **AI-AII** with $\alpha_0 = 2H_n$ and $\alpha_\infty = 2H_1$, which in the light of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, leads to.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose that* $2H_1 < \beta$.
i)If $T_u u^{\frac{\beta - 2H_1}{\beta H_n}} \sim \rho \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H_1}}{1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H_n}}$ *as* $u \to \infty$, *with* $\rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{H_n}}[0,\rho] \mathcal{H}_{B_{H_n}} 2^{\frac{H_n - 1}{2H_n}} \sqrt{\frac{A\pi}{B}} \frac{\left(1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1 - H_n}{H_n}}}{(\tau^*)^{\frac{H_1(2 - H_n)}{H_n}}} u^{\frac{H_n + \beta - 2H_1 + H_1 H_n - \beta H_n}{\beta H_n}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right);$$

ii) If $T_u u^{\frac{\beta - 2H_1}{\beta H_n}} \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1 (m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim (\mathcal{H}_{B_{H_n}})^2 2^{\frac{H_n - 2}{2H_n}} \sqrt{\frac{A\pi}{B}} \frac{\left(1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2 - H_n}{H_n}}}{(\tau^*)^{\frac{H_1(4 - H_n)}{H_n}}} T_u u^{\frac{H_n + 2\beta - 4H_1 + H_1 H_n - \beta H_n}{\beta H_n}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right).$$

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that* $2H_1 = \beta$.

i) If $T_u \to \rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H}} \sum_{i=1}^n B_{H_i}}[0,\rho] \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H}} \sum_{i=1}^n B_{H_i}} \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{(\tau^*)^{H_1}}{(1 + c\tau^{*\beta})} u^{\frac{1 - H_1}{2H_1}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right);$$

ii) If $T_u \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1 (m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H}} \sum_{i=1}^n B_{H_i}} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{(\tau^*)^{H_1}}{(1 + c\tau^{*\beta})} T_u u^{\frac{1 - H_1}{2H_1}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right).$$

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that* $2H_1 > \beta > H_1$.
i) If $T_u u^{\frac{\beta - 2H_1}{\beta H_1}} \to \rho \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}(\tau^*)^{2H_1}}{1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H_1}}$, *with* $\rho \in [0, \infty)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{H_1}}[0,\rho] \mathcal{H}_{B_{H_1}} 2^{\frac{H_1 - 1}{2H_1}} \sqrt{\frac{A\pi}{B}} \frac{\left(1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1 - H_1}{H_1}}}{(\tau^*)^{2 - H_1}} u^{\frac{(\beta - H_1)(1 - H_1)}{\beta H_1}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right);$$

ii) If $T_u u^{\frac{\beta - 2H_1}{\beta H_1}} \to \infty$ *and* $T_u = o(e^{\beta_1 (m^*(u))^2})$ *with* $\beta_1 \in (0, 1/2)$, *then*

$$\psi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{H_1}}\right)^2 2^{\frac{H_1 - 2}{2H_1}} \sqrt{\frac{A\pi}{B}} \frac{\left(1 + c(\tau^*)^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2 - H_1}{H_1}}}{(\tau^*)^{4 - H_1}} u^{\frac{2\beta - 3H_1 + H_1^2 - \beta H_1}{\beta H_1}} \Psi\left(\inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{u(1 + ct^{\beta})}{\sigma_{\Sigma}(u^{1/\beta} t)} \right).$$

Remarks 4.4. *Following* [4] *and* [14], *if* $n=1$, $H_1>1/2$, $\beta=1$ *and* $T_u=o(u^{\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}})$, *then* $\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)\sim\psi_{T_u}^{\inf}(u)$. *Combination of results derived in Section 3 to the model considered in this section extends this findings to* $n\geq 1$, $2H_1>\beta>H_1$ *and* $T_u=o(u^{\frac{2H_1-\beta}{\beta H_1}})$.

5. Proofs

In this section we present detailed proofs of the main results of this contribution.

Following the same line of reasoning as in [14], we write

$$\psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]}Q(t)>u\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,u^{-1/\beta}T_u]}\sup_{s\geq t}Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\right)$$

with $Z_u(s,t)=\frac{X(u^{1/\beta}s)-X(u^{1/\beta}t)}{1+c(s-t)^{\beta}}\frac{1+c\tau_u^{\beta}}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)}$ and $m(u)=\inf_{t\geq 0}\frac{u(1+ct^{\beta})}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}t)}$.
Hereafter, for a given process $Y(t)$, we denote $\overline{Y}(t):=Y(t)/\sigma_Y(t)$. By $\dot{h}$, $\ddot{h}$ we mean the first and second derivative of twice continuously differentiable function h, respectively. To short the notation we set $\sigma_u^2(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X(u^{1/\beta}s)}{\sigma(u)(1+cs^{\beta})}\right)^2$ and $r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1):=Cov(\overline{Z_u}(s,t),\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1))=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X(u^{1/\beta}s)-X(u^{1/\beta}t)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s-t))}\frac{X(u^{1/\beta}s_1)-X(u^{1/\beta}t_1)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1))}\right), s>t, s_1>t_1$.
The following lemma slightly extends Lemma 2 in [6], by providing asymptotics for the tail distribution of functionals introduced in (5) and fulfilling **F1-F2** instead of sup functional considered in [6]. Following the setting given in [6], let $\{K_u\}$ be a nondecreasing family of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ with $m\geq 1$, and $\{X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{t}),\boldsymbol{t}\in M\}, u>0, \boldsymbol{k}\in K_u$ be a collection of centered continuous Gaussian fields on a compact set $M\subset\mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that the variance of $X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{t})$ equals 1. Let $g_{\boldsymbol{k}},\theta_{\boldsymbol{k}}$, with $\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u$ be such that (see [6])

P1 $\inf_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u)\to\infty$ as $u\to\infty$.

P2 There exists a centered Gaussian field $\{V(\boldsymbol{t}),\boldsymbol{t}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ with covariance as in (6), satisfying **E1**, such that $\sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}|\theta_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u,\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t})-\sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s})|\to 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t}\in M$.

P3 For some $\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_d>0$,

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}\sup_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t}\in M}\frac{\theta_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u,\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t})}{\sum_{i=1}^d|s_i-t_i|^{\eta_i}}<\infty.$$

P4

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}\sup_{|\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{t}|<\epsilon,\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t}\in M}g_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2(u)\mathbb{E}\left(\left(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{s})-X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{t})\right)X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{0})\right)=0.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that* **P1-P4** *hold for functions* $g_{\boldsymbol{k}}$, $\theta_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ *and Gaussian process* V. *Let* $\Phi: C(M)\to\mathbb{R}$ *be a continuous functional fulfilling* **F1** *and* **F2**. *If*

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}\sup_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t}\in M,\boldsymbol{s}\neq\boldsymbol{t}}\left|g_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2(u)\frac{Var\left(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{t})-X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})}(\boldsymbol{s})\right)}{2\theta_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u,\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{t})}-1\right|=0, \tag{9}$$

then

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})})>g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u)\right)}{\Psi(g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u))}=\mathcal{H}_V^{\Phi}(M)$$

provided that $\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})})>g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u)\right)>0$ *for* u *large enough, and*

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K_u}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k})})>g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u)\right)}{\Psi(g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u))}<\infty. \tag{10}$$

The proof of Lemma 5.1 goes line-by line the same as the proof of Lemma 2 in [6]; see also proof of Lemma 1 in [4]. We present main steps of the proof in Appendix.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that* $\sigma^2(t)$ *satisfies* **AI-AII**. *Then there exisit* $\gamma \in (0,2)$, $C_1 > 0$ *and* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$C_1 t^2 \le \sigma^2(t) \le C_2 t^\gamma,$$

holds in a neighbourhood of zero.

Lemma 5.3. *For* u *large enough,* $\sigma_u(\tau)$ *attains its unique maximum* $\tau_u \in [0,\infty)$ *so that* $\tau_u \to \tau^*$, *as* $u \to \infty$. *Moreover,*

$$\frac{\sigma_u(\tau)}{\sigma_u(\tau_u)} = 1 - b_u(\tau - \tau_u)^2(1+o(1)), \quad \tau \to \tau_u, \tag{11}$$

where $b_u \to b = \frac{B}{2A}$.

Let $E(u) = (\tau_u - \delta_u, \tau_u + \delta_u)$ with $\delta_u = \frac{\ln(m(u))}{m(u)}$.

Lemma 5.4. *The correlation function* $r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)$ *satisfies*

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{|t-t_1|<\delta_u, s-t, s_1-t_1 \in E(u), (s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1)} \left| \frac{1 - r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Lemma 5.5. *For* u *large enough and any* $\delta > 0$, *there exists a constant* $0 < a_\delta < 1$ *such that*

$$r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1) < a_\delta$$

holds for all $|t - t_1| > \delta, s - t, s_1 - t_1 \in E(u)$. *Further,*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \sup_{|t-t_1|>R, s-t, s_1-t_1\in E(u)} r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1) = 0,$$

holds uniformly with respect to u *for* u *large enough.*

We provide complete proofs of Lemma 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 in the Appendix.

The following lemma deals with the asymptotics of supremum of Gaussian field $Z_u(s,t)$ over a parameter set that is away of the neighbourhoood of the maximizer of the variance of $Z_u(s,t)$.

Recall that

$$\Delta(u) = \begin{cases} \overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}{u(1+c\tau^{*\beta})}\right), & if \varphi = \infty \ or \ 0, \\ 1, & if \varphi \in (0,\infty). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that* **AI-AII** *hold and* $r > 0$.

i) If $T_u = o(u^r)$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s\ge t, s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u) \right) = o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u) m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) \right).$$

ii) If $u^r T_u \to \infty$, *as* $u \to \infty$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s\geq t, s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u) \right) = o\left(\frac{T_u u^{1/\beta}}{(\Delta(u))^2 m(u)} \Psi(m(u)) \right).$$

Proof. We set $\tau = s-t$ and write

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u) \right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{\tau\notin E(u)} Z_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u) \right).$$

Let $[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}] \times ([0,\infty) \setminus E(u)) = \mathcal{S}_{1,u} \cup \mathcal{S}_{2,u} \cup \mathcal{S}_{3,u}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{1,u} = [0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}] \times [0,\epsilon]$, $\mathcal{S}_{2,u} = [0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}] \times [T,\infty)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{3,u} = [0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}] \times ([\epsilon,T] \setminus E(u))$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and $T \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Clearly it suffices to find the asymptotic upper estimates of the analyzed tail probability for each set $\mathcal{S}_{1,u}, \mathcal{S}_{2,u}, \mathcal{S}_{3,u}$ separately.

Ad. $\mathcal{S}_{2,u}$. Following Potter's theorem (see, e.g., [3]),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_u^2(t+\tau,t)\right) &= \frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau)(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)(1+c\tau^\beta)^2} \leq 2\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_u}\right)^{2\alpha_\infty+2\eta} \left(\frac{1+c\tau_u^\beta}{1+c\tau^\beta}\right)^2 \leq 2\frac{(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2}{c^2\tau_u^{2\alpha_\infty+2\eta}}\tau^{2\alpha_\infty+2\eta-2\beta} \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\tau^{-2(\beta-\alpha_\infty-\eta)}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}$ is a fixed constant and $0 < \eta < \beta - \alpha_\infty$. Note that by **AI** and Remark 5.2, we can choose $0 < \gamma_1 < \min(\gamma, 2\alpha_\infty)$ such that

$$g_1(t) := \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{t^{\gamma_1}} \tag{13}$$

is a regularly varying function at ∞ with index $2\alpha_\infty - \gamma_1 > 0$ and bounded in a neighborhood of 0. Therefore it follows from Uniform Convergence Theorem (UCT) (see, e.g., Theorem 1.5.2 in [3]) that for $t, t_1 \in [l, l+1] \subset [0, T_u u^{-1/\beta}+1]$ and $\tau, \tau_1 \in [k, k+1] \subset [T, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z}_u(t+\tau,t) - \overline{Z}_u(t_1+\tau_1,t_1)\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_1)} \\ &\leq 4\frac{g_1(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)}{g_1(u^{1/\beta}k)}\left(\frac{|t-t_1|}{k}\right)^{\gamma_1} + 4\frac{g_1(u^{1/\beta}|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|)}{g_1(u^{1/\beta}k)}\left(\frac{|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|}{k}\right)^{\gamma_1} \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}_1(|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1} + |t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|^{\gamma_1}) \leq \mathbb{Q}_2(|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1} + |\tau-\tau_1|^{\gamma_1}), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_1, \mathbb{Q}_2 > 0$. Combining (12) and (14) with Fernique inequality (see [10]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{\tau\in[T,\infty)} Z_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{[T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sum_{k=T}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[l,l+1]} \sup_{\tau\in[k,k+1]} Z_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{[T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sum_{k=T}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[l,l+1]} \sup_{\tau\in[k,k+1]} \overline{Z}_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u)\frac{k^{(\beta-\alpha_\infty-\eta)}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{[T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sum_{k=T}^{\infty} 16\exp\left(-\frac{k^{2(\beta-\alpha_\infty-\eta)}}{\mathbb{Q}_3}m^2(u)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad \mathbb{Q}_T([T_u u^{-1/\beta}]+1)\exp\left(-\frac{T^{2(\beta-\alpha_\infty-\eta)}}{\mathbb{Q}_3}m^2(u)\right), \tag{15}$$

for T large enough, with $\mathbb{Q}_3, \mathbb{Q}_T > 0$.

Ad. $\mathcal{S}_{1,u}$. It is convenient to bound

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u)\right) \quad \leq \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) > m(u)\right). \tag{16}$$

Indeed the same lines of reasoning as above leads for $t, t_1 \in [l, l+1] \subset [0, T_u u^{-1/\beta}+1]$ and $\tau, \tau_1 \in [0,\epsilon]$, to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) - Z_u(t_1+\tau_1,t_1)(1+c\tau_1^\beta)\right)^2 \\
&= \quad \frac{(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)}\mathbb{E}\left(X(u^{1/\beta}(t+\tau)) - X(u^{1/\beta}t) - X(u^{1/\beta}(t_1+\tau_1)) + X(u^{1/\beta}t_1)\right)^2 \\
&\leq \quad 2(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|)}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)} \\
&= \quad 2(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2\left(\frac{g_1(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)}{g_1(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)}\frac{|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1}}{\tau_u^{\gamma_1}} + \frac{g_1(u^{1/\beta}|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|)}{g_1(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)}\frac{|t+\tau-t_1-\tau_1|^{\gamma_1}}{\tau_u^{\gamma_1}}\right) \\
&\leq \quad \mathbb{Q}_4\left(|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1} + |\tau-\tau_1|^{\gamma_1}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_4 > 0$. Therefore, by Fernique inequality, $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[l,l+1],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) > x\right) \leq 8\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{\mathbb{Q}_5}\right)$, for all $[l,l+1] \subset [0, T_u u^{-1/\beta}+1]$ and $x > 0$. Hence we can find a common $a > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[l,l+1],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) > a\right) < 1/2$, for all $[l,l+1] \subset [0, T_u u^{-1/\beta}+1]$. Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta)\right)^2 \leq \sup_{\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} \frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau)(1+c\tau_u^\beta)^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau_u)} \leq \mathbb{Q}_6\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\tau^*}\right)^{2\alpha_\infty}.$$

Thus, by Borel theorem, we have that for ϵ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) > m(u)\right) \\
&\leq \quad \sum_{l=0}^{[T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[l,l+1],\tau\in[0,\epsilon]} Z_u(t+\tau,t)(1+c\tau^\beta) > m(u)\right) \\
&\leq \quad 2([T_u u^{-1/\beta}]+1)\Psi\left(\frac{m(u)-a}{\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}_6}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\tau^*}\right)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Ad. $\mathcal{S}_{3,u}$. Similarly as for $\mathcal{S}_{2,u}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z}_u(t+\tau,t) - \overline{Z}_u(t_1+\tau_1,t_1)\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{Q}_7(|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1} + |\tau-\tau_1|^{\gamma_1}),$$

for $t, t_1 \in [l, l+1] \subset [0, T_u u^{-1/\beta}+1]$ and $\tau, \tau_1 \in [\epsilon, T]$. Thus by Piterbarg inequality (Theorem 8.1 in [13]) and (11), for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,\tau)\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]\times([\epsilon,T]/E(u))} Z_u(t+\tau,t) > m(u)\right) \\
&\leq \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,\tau)\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]\times[\epsilon,T]} \overline{Z}_u(t+\tau,t) > \frac{m(u)}{1-\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)}\right)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad \mathbb{Q}_8([T_u u^{-1/\beta}]+1)T(m(u))^{4/\gamma_1}\Psi\left(\frac{m(u)}{1-\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)}\right)^2}\right) = o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta-\epsilon}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))\right). \tag{18}$$

Combination of (15), (17) and (18) establishes the claims. □

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Since

$$\pi_{T_u}(u) \leq \psi_{T_u}^{\sup}(u) \leq \pi_{T_u}(u) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]}\ \sup_{s\geq t, s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right), \tag{19}$$

where

$$\pi_{T_u}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]}\ \sup_{s-t\in E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right).$$

and the upper bound of $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]}\sup_{s\geq t,s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right)$ is given in Lemma 5.6, then it suffices to focus on the asymptotics of $\pi_{T_u}(u)$. We note that, independently of the value of φ, $\frac{m(u)\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}} \to 0$ as $u\to\infty$. Let $D_k(u) = [k\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S, (k+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S]$, $F_l(u) = [\tau_u + l\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S, \tau_u+(l+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S]$ and $I_{k,l}(u) = D_k(u)\times F_l(u)$ with $S>0$. Moreover, let $N_{S,u} = [\frac{u^{1/\beta}\ln m(u)}{m(u)\Delta(u)S}]$ and $m_{k,l}^{\pm\epsilon}(u) = m(u)\left(1+(b\pm\epsilon)\left((l-k)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S\right)^2\right)$.

Proof of case $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} = \infty$.

Upper bound of $\pi_{T_u}(u)$. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{T_u}(u) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}\ \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1+k}^{N_{S,u}+2+k}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right)\\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}\ \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1+k}^{N_{S,u}+2+k}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t) > m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In order to apply Lemma 5.1, we have to check conditions **P1-P4**, for appropriately chosen $K_u, g_{k,l}, \theta_{k,l}$. Let

$$X^{(u,k,l)}(t,s) := \overline{Z_u}\left(\tau_u + l\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S + \frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s, k\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S + \frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t\right)$$

with $(s,t)\in[0,S]^2$ and $(k,l)\in K_u := \{(k,l), 0\leq k\leq [\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1, -N_{S,u}-1+k\leq l\leq N_{S,u}+2+k\}$. Then, let $g_{k,l}(u) := m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)$ and

$$\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,t_1,s_1) := \frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2, \tag{21}$$

for $(t,s),(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2, (k,l)\in K_u$.

Assumption **P1** holds straightforwardly. In order to show **P2**, we observe that, by definition of $\Delta(u)$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2 - 1\right| = 0 \tag{22}$$

and, by the UCT,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))} - |s-s_1|^{2\alpha_0} - |t-t_1|^{2\alpha_0}\right| = 0, \quad (t,s),(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2.$$

Therefore using UCT again, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\left|\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,t_1,s_1)-|s-s_1|^{2\alpha_0}-|t-t_1|^{2\alpha_0}\right|\\
\le\ & \lim_{u\to\infty}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}-|s-s_1|^{2\alpha_0}-|t-t_1|^{2\alpha_0}\right|\\
&+\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2-1\right|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}\\
\le\ & \lim_{u\to\infty}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}-|s-s_1|^{2\alpha_0}-|t-t_1|^{2\alpha_0}\right|\\
&+\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\mathbb{Q}S^{2\alpha_0}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2-1\right|\\
\to\ & 0,\quad (t,s),(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that **P2** is satisfied.

In order to check **P3**, we use that by UCT, with γ_1 and $g_1(t)$ defined in (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\sup_{(t,s)\neq(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2}\frac{\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,t_1,s_1)}{|s-s_1|^{\gamma_1}+|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1}}\\
\le\ & \overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\sup_{(t,s)\neq(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2}2\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))(|s-s_1|^{\gamma_1}+|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1})}\\
\le\ & 2\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(t,s)\neq(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2}\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-s_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))|s-s_1|^{\gamma_1}}+2\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(t,s)\neq(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2}\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))|t-t_1|^{\gamma_1}}\\
=\ & 4\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,S]}\frac{g_1(\Delta(u)t)}{g_1(\Delta(u))}\le 8S^{2\alpha_0-\gamma_1}<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we focus on **P4**. First, in light of UCT and (22)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\sup_{|(t,s)-(t_1,s_1)|<\epsilon,(t,s),(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2}|\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)-\theta_{k,l}(u,t_1,s_1,0,0)|\\
\le\ & 2\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\overline{\lim}_{u\to\infty}\sup_{s,t,s_1,t_1\in[0,\epsilon],}\left|\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)s)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u)t)-\sigma^2(\Delta(u)s_1)-\sigma^2(\Delta(u)t_1)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}\right|=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Second, it follows from Lemma 5.4 and and UCT that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2(1-r_u(s_l(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s,t_k(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t,s_l(u),t_k(u)))-\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)\right|\\
\le\ & \left|(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2\frac{1-r_u(s_l(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s,t_k(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t,s_l(u),t_k(u))}{\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)}-1\right|\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)\\
\le\ & \mathbb{Q}_0S^{2\alpha_0}\left|(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2\frac{1-r_u(s_l(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s,t_k(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t,s_l(u),t_k(u))}{\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)}-1\right|\Rightarrow0,\quad u\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

with respect to $(k,l)\in K_u$ and $(t,s)\in[0,S]^2$, where $s_l(u)=\tau_u+l\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S$ and $t_k(u)=k\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S$.

The above leads to, for $\|(t,s)-(t_1,s_1)\|<\epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2\mathbb{E}\left(\left(X^{(u,k,l)}(s,t)-X^{(u,k,l)}(s_1,t_1)\right)X^{(u,k,l)}(0,0)\right)\\
\le\ & \left|(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2(1-r_u(s_l(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s,t_k(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t,s_l(u),t_k(u)))-\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left|(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2(1-r_u(s_l(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}s_1,t_k(u)+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}t_1,s_l(u),t_k(u)))-\theta_{k,l}(u,t_1,s_1,0,0)\right|$$
$$+\left|\theta_{k,l}(u,t,s,0,0)-\theta_{k,l}(u,t_1,s_1,0,0)\right|\Rightarrow 0,\quad u\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0.$$

with respect to $(k,l)\in K_u,(t,s),(t_1,s_1)\in[0,S]^2$, which confirms that **P4** is fulfilled.

Thus in view of Lemma 5.1

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s,t)>m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right)}{\Psi(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))}\to\mathcal{H}_V([0,S]^2)=\left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]\right)^2,\quad u\to\infty,\tag{23}$$

where $V(t,s)=B_{\alpha_0}^{(1)}(t)+B_{\alpha_0}^{(2)}(s)$, with $B_{\alpha_0}^{(1)}$ and $B_{\alpha_0}^{(2)}$ being two independent fBms with index α_0. Then, continuing (20), in view of (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{T_u}(u) &\le \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}\ \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1+k}^{N_{S,u}+2+k}(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S])^2\Psi(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))(1+o(1))\\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S])^2\Psi(m(u))\sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-2}^{N_{S,u}+3}e^{-(b-\epsilon)\left(lm(u)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S\right)^2}(1+o(1))\\
&\le \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)}]\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{m(u)\Delta(u)}\Psi(m(u))\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-x^2}dx(1+o(1))\\
&\sim (\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}})^2(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2m(u)}\Psi(m(u)),\quad\text{as}\quad u\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

with $b=\frac{B}{2A}$ (see Lemma 5.3). Hence, letting $\epsilon\to 0$, we obtain the upper bound for $\pi_{T_u}(u)$.

Lower bound of $\pi_{T_u}(u)$. Set

$\Gamma_{\delta,1}=\{(k,l,,k_1,l_1):0\le k\le k_1\le[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}],|k_1-k|\le\frac{\delta u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)S},-N_{S,u}+k\le l\le l_1\le N_{S,u}+k,I_{k,l}(u)\cap I_{k_1,l_1}(u)=\emptyset\}$,
$\Gamma_{\delta,2}=\{(k,l,,k_1,l_1):0\le k\le k_1\le[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}],|k_1-k|\le\frac{\delta u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)S},-N_{S,u}+k\le l\le l_1\le N_{S,u}+k,I_{k,l}(u)\cap I_{k_1,l_1}(u)\neq\emptyset\}$,
$\Gamma_{\delta,3}=\{(k,l,,k_1,l_1):0\le k\le k_1\le[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}],\frac{\delta u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)S}<|k_1-k|\le\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)S}e^{\frac{1-a_\delta}{4+4a_\delta}m^2(u)},-N_{S,u}+k\le l\le l_1\le N_{S,u}+k\}$,
$\Gamma_{\delta,4}=\{(k,l,,k_1,l_1):0\le k\le k_1\le[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}],|k_1-k|>\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)S}e^{\frac{1-a_\delta}{4+4a_\delta}m^2(u)},-N_{S,u}+k\le l\le l_1\le N_{S,u}+k\}$. We have

$$\pi_{T_u}(u)\ge\sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]}\ \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}+k}^{N_{S,u}+k}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\right)-\left(\Sigma_1(u)+\Sigma_2(u)+\Sigma_3(u)+\Sigma_4(u)\right),$$

where

$$\Sigma_i(u)\ =\ \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,i}}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}Z_u(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right),\quad i=1,2,3,4.$$

The same lines of reasoning, as presented in the proof of the upper bound of $\pi_{T_u}(u)$, give the lower bound for $\sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]}\sum_{l=-N_{S,u}+k}^{N_{S,u}+k}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\right)$, which asymptotically agrees with the upper bound. Thus the remaining task is to prove that $\Sigma_i(u)$, $i=1,2,3,4$ are asymptotically negligible.

Upper bound of $\Sigma_1(u)$. In light of Lemma 5.4, there exists a positive constant $\delta>0$ such that for u large enough, all $(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$ with $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}$,

$$1/2<\frac{1-r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}}<2.$$

Moreover, by UCT, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \le \mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)\right)^2 &= 4-2(1-r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)) \\ &\le 4-\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)} \\ &\le 4-\mathbb{Q}_2\frac{|l_1-l|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+|k_1-k|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}}{m^2(u)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0<\gamma_1<\min(2\alpha_\infty,\gamma)$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1(u) &\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s,t)>m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u), \sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)>m_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right) \\ &\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)>2\hat{m}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right) \\ &\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)}>\frac{2\hat{m}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u)}{\sqrt{4-\mathbb{Q}_2\frac{|l_1-l|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+|k_1-k|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}}{m^2(u)}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{m}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u)=\min(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u),m_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u))$.

In order to bound the above sum, we introduce

$$r_u(t,s,t_1,s_1,t',s',t_1^{'},s_1^{'}) := \mathbb{E}\left((\overline{\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)})(\overline{\overline{Z_u}(s',t')+\overline{Z_u}(s_1^{'},t_1^{'})})\right)$$

and observe that for (t,s,t_1,s_1), $(t',s',t_1^{'},s_1^{'})\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1-r_u(t,s,t_1,s_1,t',s',t_1^{'},s_1^{'}) &\le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)-\overline{Z_u}(s',t')-\overline{Z_u}(s_1^{'},t_1^{'})\right)^2}{2\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)\right)^2}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s',t')+\overline{Z_u}(s_1^{'},t_1^{'})\right)^2}} \\ &\le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)-\overline{Z_u}(s',t')\right)^2+\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)-\overline{Z_u}(s_1^{'},t_1^{'})\right)^2}{2} \\ &\le 1-r_u(s,t,s',t')+1-r_u(s_1,t_1,s_1^{'},t_1^{'}) \\ &\le \frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s^{'}|)+\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t^{'}|)}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}+\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s_1-s_1^{'}|)+\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t_1-t_1^{'}|)}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)} \\ &\le \mathbb{Q}_3S^2\frac{\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)}\right)^{\gamma_2}\left(|s-s'|^{\gamma_2}+|t-t'|^{\gamma_2}+|s_1-s_1^{'}|^{\gamma_2}+|t_1-t_1^{'}|^{\gamma_2}\right)}{m^2(u)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $0<\gamma_2<\min(2\alpha_\infty,\gamma)$ and $S\ge 1$.

Next we define a centered homogenous Gaussian field $\{X_u^*(s,t,s_1,t_1),(s,t,s_1,t_1)\in\mathbb{R}^4\}$ so that $X_u^*(s,t,s_1,t_1):=(X_u^1(s)+X_u^2(t)+X_u^3(s_1)+X_u^4(t_1))/2$ with $X_u^i(s), 1\le i\le 4$, being i.i.d. centered stationary Gaussian processes with covariance function

$$r_u(s,s')=\exp\left(-8\mathbb{Q}_3S^2\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)}\right)^{\gamma_2}\frac{1}{m^2(u)}|s-s'|^{\gamma_2}\right).$$

Let $r^*_u(s,t,s_1,t_1,s',t',s_1^{'},t_1^{'})$ be the covariance function of $X^*_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)$. It is straightforward to check that for (t,s,t_1,s_1), $(t',s',t_1^{'},s_1^{'}) \in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$,

$$r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1,s',t',s_1^{'},t_1^{'}) \geq r^*_u(s,t,s_1,t_1,s',t',s_1^{'},t_1^{'}).$$

In light of Slepian's inequality (see, e.g., [1] or [13]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1(u) &\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)} X^*_u(s,t,s_1,t_1) > \frac{2\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)}{\sqrt{4-\mathbb{Q}_2\frac{|l_1-l|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+|k_1-k|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}}{m^2(u)}}}\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} 2(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\gamma_2}}[0,S_1])^4\Psi\left(\frac{2\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)}{\sqrt{4-\mathbb{Q}_2\frac{|l_1-l|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+|k_1-k|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}}{m^2(u)}}}\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,1}} 4(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\gamma_2}}[0,S_1])^4\Psi(\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u))e^{-\mathbb{Q}_4(|l_1-l|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+|k_1-k|^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1})}\\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]}\sum_{l=-N_{S,u}+k}^{N_{S,u}+k} 4\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\gamma_2}}[0,S_1]}{S_1}\right)^4\Psi(\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l}(u))S_1^{-4}\sum_{i\geq0,j\geq0,i+j\geq1} e^{-\mathbb{Q}_4(i^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1}+j^{\gamma_1}S^{\gamma_1})}\\
&\leq 8\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\gamma_2}}[0,S_1]}{S_1}\right)^4(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2m(u)}\Psi(m(u))S_1^{-4}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_5S^{\gamma_1}} \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

with $S_1=(2\mathbb{Q}_3)^{2/\gamma_2}S^{1+4/\gamma_2}$. Letting $S\to\infty$, we get that $\Sigma_1(u)=o(\pi_{T_u}(u))$ as $u\to\infty$.

Upper bound of $\Sigma_2(u)$. We have

$$\Sigma_2(u) \leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} p^{(1)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) + \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} p^{(2)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u),$$

with

$$p^{(1)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} Z_u(s,t)>m(u) \sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I^1_{k_1,l_1}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right)$$

$$p^{(2)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} Z_u(s,t)>m(u) \sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I^2_{k_1,l_1}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right),$$

where, without loss of generality we assume that $k+1=k_1$ and, $l=l_1$ or $l\pm1=l_1$ and

$$I^1_{k_1,l_1}(u) = [(k+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S, (k+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}\sqrt{S}]\times F_{l_1}(u),$$

$$I^2_{k_1,l_1}(u) = [(k+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S+\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}\sqrt{S}, (k+2)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S,]\times F_{l_1}(u).$$

Following the same argument as given in the proof of $\Sigma_1(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} p^{(1)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) &\leq \sum_{k_1=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}\sum_{l_1=-N_{S,u}-1+k}^{N_{S,u}+2+k}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I^1_{k_1,l_1}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]+1}\sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1+k}^{N_{S,u}+2+k}\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\sqrt{S}]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]\Psi(m^{-\epsilon}_{k,l}(u))(1+o(1))\\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{S}}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\sqrt{S}]}{\sqrt{S}}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]}{S}(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2m(u)}\Psi(m(u)). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

and, with the same S_1 as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} p^{(2)}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) &\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)} > \frac{2\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)}{\sqrt{4-\mathbb{Q}_3\frac{S^{\gamma_1/2}}{m^2(u)}}}\right)\\
&\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,2}} 2(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S_1])^4\Psi\left(\frac{2\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)}{\sqrt{4-\mathbb{Q}_3\frac{S^{\gamma_1/2}}{m^2(u)}}}\right)\\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)S}]} \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}+k}^{N_{S,u}+k} 4\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S_1]}{S_1}\right)^4 \Psi(\hat{m}^{-\epsilon}_{k,l}(u))S_1^{-4}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_3 S^{\gamma_1/2}}\\
&\le 8\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S_1]}{S_1}\right)^4 (b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2 m(u)}\Psi(m(u))S_1^{-4}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_3 S^{\gamma_1/2}}. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

Combination of (25) with (26) implies that $\Sigma_2(u) = o(\pi_{T_u}(u))$ as $u\to\infty$.

Upper bound of $\Sigma_3(u)$. The idea of this part of the proof is to apply Borel inequality. For that, without loss of generality, we fix $S=1$. We observe that (similarly as for $\Sigma_1(u)$), for $(t,s,t_1,s_1),(t',s',t_1',s_1')\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$ with $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,3}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)\right)^2 = 2+2r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)\le 2+2a_\delta < 4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)-\overline{Z_u}(s',t')-\overline{Z_u}(s_1',t_1')\right)^2\\
\le\ & 4(1-r_u(s,t,s',t'))+4(1-r_u(s_1,s_1',t_1,t_1'))\\
\le\ & \mathbb{Q}_6\frac{\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)}\right)^{\gamma_2}\left(|s-s'|^{\gamma_2}+|t-t'|^{\gamma_2}+|s_1-s_1'|^{\gamma_2}+|t_1-t_1'|^{\gamma_2}\right)}{m^2(u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Fernique inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1) > x\right)\le\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{8}},$$

for any $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,3}$, any $x>0$ and u large enough. This implies that there exists a common positive constant a such that for any $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,3}$ and u large enough

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1) > a\right)\le 1/2.$$

The above implies that we can apply Borel inequality to the sum below uniformly

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3(u) &\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,3}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s,t)>m(u), \sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right),\\
&\le \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Gamma_{\delta,3}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s,t_1,s_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{Z_u}(s,t)+\overline{Z_u}(s_1,t_1)>2m(u)\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}_7\left(\frac{u^{1/(2\beta)}}{\Delta(u)}\frac{u^{1/\beta}\ln m(u)}{m(u)\Delta(u)}\right)^2 T_u e^{\frac{1-a_\delta}{4+4a_\delta}m^2(u)}\Psi\left(\frac{2m(u)-a}{\sqrt{2+2a_\delta}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \mathbb{Q}_8 \left(\frac{u^{3/(2\beta)} \ln m(u)}{m(u)\Delta^2(u)}\right)^2 T_u \Psi\left(m(u)\right) e^{-m^2(u)\left(\frac{1-a_\delta}{4+4a_\delta}\right)+\frac{am(u)}{1+a_\delta}}\\
&= o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2 m(u)}\Psi(m(u))\right), \quad u\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\Sigma_3(u)=o(\pi_{T_u}(u))$ as $u\to\infty$.

Upper bound of $\Sigma_4(u)$. Let $0<\epsilon<\frac{1-2\beta_1}{1+2\beta_1}$ be given. Then, for u large enough, $r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)<\epsilon$ holds for $|t-t_1|>e^{\frac{1-a_\delta}{8+8a_\delta}m^2(u)}$ and $s-t, s_1-t_1\in E(u)$. Thus similarly as for $\Sigma_3(u)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4(u) &\le \mathbb{Q}_9 \left(\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)}\frac{u^{1/\beta}\ln m(u)}{m(u)\Delta(u)}\right)^2 \Psi\left(\frac{2m(u)-a}{\sqrt{2+2\epsilon}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}_{10} \left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}\ln m(u)}{m(u)\Delta^2(u)}\right)^2 T_u\Psi\left(m(u)\right) e^{-\left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{2(1+\epsilon)}-\beta_1\right)m^2(u)+\frac{am(u)}{1+\epsilon}}\\
&= o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}T_u}{(\Delta(u))^2 m(u)}\Psi(m(u))\right), \quad u\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Sigma_3(u)=o(\pi_{T_u}(u))$ as $u\to\infty$.

Note that if for some T_u, $\Gamma_{\delta,3}$ or $\Gamma_{\delta,4}$ are empty then the above inequalities are still valid. This completes the proof of ii).

Proof of case $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)}=\rho\in(0,\infty)$. The proof of this case is similar to the proof of the previous case. Thus we focus on the tiny details that differ from the arguments used in the previous case.

For $I_{0,l}^{(\pm\epsilon)}(u)=[0,(\rho\pm\epsilon)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}]\times[\tau_u+l\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S,\tau_u+(l+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{T_u}(u) &\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+1}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{0,l}^{+\epsilon}(u)} Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\right)\\
&\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+1}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{0,l}^{+\epsilon}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t)>m_{0,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right)\\
&\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+1}\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho+\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]\Psi(m_{0,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))(1+o(1))\\
&\sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho+\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)), \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $N_{S,u}$ and $m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)$ defined below (20). Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{T_u}(u) &\ge \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}}^{N_{S,u}}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{0,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)} Z_u(s,t)>m(u)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\Sigma_i'(u)\\
&\ge \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho-\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))(1+o(1))-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\Sigma_i'(u), \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Sigma_i'(u) = \sum_{(l,l_1)\in\Gamma_i'}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{0,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)} Z_u(s,t)>m(u) \sup_{(t_1,s_1)\in I_{0,l_1}^{-\epsilon}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1)>m(u)\right), \quad i=1,2,
$$

with $\Gamma'_1 = \{(l, l_1), -N_{S,u} \le l < l_1 + 1 \le N_{S,u}\}$ and $\Gamma'_2 = \{(l, l_1), -N_{S,u} \le l = l_1 + 1 \le N_{S,u}\}$.

Following the same lines of argument as in (24) (see also (25) or (26)), we get that $\sum_{i=1}^{2} \Sigma'_i(u)$ is negligible compared with the first term in (28). Hence, comparing (27) with (28) and letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain that for $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\Psi_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0, \rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)). \tag{29}$$

Finally let us suppose that $\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u)} \to \rho = 0$. Clearly, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Psi_0(u) \le \Psi_{T_u}(u) \le \Psi_{\Delta(u)\epsilon}(u).$$

Hence, by (29), $\Psi_{\Delta(u)\epsilon}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0, \epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))$. Moreover, following [6], we have $\Psi_0(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))$. Thus, using that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0, \epsilon] = 1$, we arrive at

$$\Psi_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)),$$

which completes the proof. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** In view of the proof of Theorem 3.1, using the same notation for $m_{k,l}^{\pm\epsilon}(u)$, $g_{k,l}(u)$ and K_u, conditions **P1**–**P4** hold with

$$\theta_{k,l}(u, t, s, t_1, s_1) := \left(\sigma_1^2(|s - s_1|) + \sigma_1^2(|t - t_1|)\right) \frac{2\varphi^2(\tau^*)^{4\alpha_\infty}}{(1 + c(\tau^*)^\beta)^2} \frac{(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}, \tag{30}$$

where $\sigma_1(t) = \frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}\sigma(t)$. Thus, following Lemma 5.1,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t) > m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right)}{\Psi(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))} \to \mathcal{H}_V([0, S]^2) = \left(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}X}[0, S]\right)^2, \quad u \to \infty,$$

where $V(t,s) := \frac{1+c(\tau^*)^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\varphi(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}\left(X^{(1)}(t) + X^{(2)}(s)\right)$ with $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ being independent copies of X

The rest of the proof goes line-by-line the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.3.** Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.2, **P1-P4** hold with

$$\theta_{k,l}(u, t, s, t_1, s_1) := \frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s - s_1|) + \sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t - t_1|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u))}{2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\tau^*)}(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))^2,$$

for $(t,s), (t_1, s_1) \in [0, S]^2, (k,l) \in K_u$.

In view of Lemma 5.1

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t,s)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t) > m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u)\right)}{\Psi(m_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}(u))} \to \mathcal{H}_V([0, S]^2) = \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_\infty}}[0, S]\right)^2, \quad u \to \infty,$$

where $V(t,s) = B_{\alpha_\infty}^{(1)}(t) + B_{\alpha_\infty}^{(2)}(s)$ with $B_{\alpha_\infty}^{(1)}$ and $B_{\alpha_\infty}^{(2)}$ being independent fBms with index α_∞. The rest of the proof follows the same idea as the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.** Similarly to (19), we have

$$\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \le \psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \le \pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) + \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right), \tag{31}$$

where

$$\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s-t\in E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right).$$

Due to Lemma 5.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right) &\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u u^{-1/\beta}]} \sup_{s-t\notin E(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right)\\
&= o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)} \Psi(m(u))\right). \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we focus on the asymptotics of $\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u)$.

Case $\varphi = 0$ *and* $\rho \in (0,\infty)$. In order to get the asymptotics of $\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u)$ we slightly modify arguments used in (27) and (28). Let $D(\rho\pm\epsilon,u) = [0,(\rho\pm\epsilon)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}]$ and $F_l(u) = [\tau_u + l\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S, \tau_u + (l+1)\frac{\Delta(u)}{u^{1/\beta}}S]$. Note that functional $\Phi := \inf\sup$ satisfies **F1-F2**. Using that **P1-P4** have been checked in the proof of Theorem 3.1, following Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) &\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+2} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in D(\rho+\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_l(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right)\\
&\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+2} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in D(\rho+\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_l(u)} \overline{Z_u}(s,t) > m^{-\epsilon}_{0,l}(u)\right)\\
&\le \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}-1}^{N_{S,u}+2} \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho+\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,S]\Psi(m^{-\epsilon}_{0,l}(u))(1+o(1))\\
&\sim \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho+\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}(b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)),
\end{aligned}$$

with $N_{S,u}$ and $m^{-\epsilon}_{k,l}(u)$ defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Similarly,

$$\pi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \ge \sum_{l=-N_{S,u}}^{N_{S,u}} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_l(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{2}\Sigma''_i(u),$$

with

$$\Sigma''_i(u) = \sum_{(l,l_1)\in\Gamma'_i} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_l(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u) \inf_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_{l_1}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1) > m(u)\right), \quad i=1,2,$$

where $\Gamma'_1 = \{(l,l_1), -N_{S,u} \le l < l_1+1 \le N_{S,u}\}$ and $\Gamma'_2 = \{(l,l_1), -N_{S,u} \le l = l_1+1 \le N_{S,u}\}$.

Clearly (by the proof of Theorem 3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2}\Sigma''_i(u) &\le \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{(l,l_1)\in\Gamma'_i} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_l(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u) \sup_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)} \sup_{s\in F_{l_1}(u)} Z_u(s_1,t_1) > m(u)\right)\\
&= o\left(\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)} \Psi(m(u))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=-N_{S,u}}^{N_{S,u}} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\in D(\rho-\epsilon,u)}\sup_{s\in F_l(u)} Z_u(s,t) > m(u)\right) \geq \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho-\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}(b+\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)).$$

Thus, letting $\epsilon \to 0$, in view of (31) and (32), we obtain

$$\psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\rho]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u)). \tag{33}$$

Case $\varphi = 0$ and $\rho = 0$. The idea of proof is based on the observation that

$$\psi^{\inf}_{\epsilon\Delta(u)}(u) \leq \psi^{\inf}_{T_u}(u) \leq \psi_0(u)$$

holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ and u sufficiently large. Following (33), $\psi^{\inf}_{\epsilon\Delta(u)}(u) = \mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\epsilon]\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))(1+o(1))$ as $u \to \infty$. Using that, due to [6], $\psi_0(u) = \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{u^{1/\beta}}{\Delta(u)m(u)}\Psi(m(u))(1+o(1))$ as $u \to \infty$ and $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathcal{H}^{\inf}_{B_{\alpha_0}}[0,\epsilon] = 1$, the proof is completed.

Case $\varphi \in (0,\infty]$ with $\rho \in [0,\infty)$. The proof of this case can be established in the same way as presented the above.
□

6. Appendix

In the appendix we present the proofs of Lemma 5.1-5.5.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Since in large part the proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 2 in [6], we present the steps that confirm extension to the class of continuous functionals Φ that satisfy **F1-F2**. By the classical transformation, for any $\boldsymbol{k}_u \in K_u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}) > g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}g^2_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{w}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{w^2}{g^2_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)}}\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}) > g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\Big| X_0^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} = g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u) - \frac{w}{g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)}\right)dw\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In light of **F2**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi(X^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}) > g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\Big| X_0^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} = g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u) - \frac{w}{g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)}\right)\\ =\ &\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi\left(g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} - r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(t)X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right) - g^2_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})) + w(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))\right) > w\right),\end{aligned}$$

with $r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}) = \mathbb{E}\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right)$. The reasoning as used in Lemma 2 in [6], in view of (5), **F1-F2** and **P1-P4** , implies that

$$\Phi\left(g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} - r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right) - g^2_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})) + w(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))\right)$$

weakly converges to $\Phi\left(\sqrt{2}V(\boldsymbol{t}) - \sigma_V^2(\boldsymbol{t})\right)$. Besides, (9) and **P3** lead to, for u large enough,

$$g^2_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\mathbb{E}\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} - r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} - X_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)} + r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{s})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{Q}\sum_{i=1}^{d}|s_i - t_i|^{\eta_i}.$$

Thus by **F1** and Fernique inequality, we derive for u large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\Phi\left(g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right)-g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}^2(u)(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))+w(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))\right)>w\right)\\
\le\ &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\boldsymbol{t}\in M}\left(g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right)-g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}^2(u)(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))+w(1-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t}))\right)>w\right)\\
\le\ &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\boldsymbol{t}\in M}g_{\boldsymbol{k}_u}(u)\left(X_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}-r_{u,\boldsymbol{k}_u}(\boldsymbol{t})X_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{(u,\boldsymbol{k}_u)}\right)>w-a_1\right)\\
\le\ &a_2e^{-a_3(w-a_1)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

with $a_i, i=1,2,3$ positive constants. The above gives the function that (uniformly) dominates the integrant in (34). Then using the dominated convergence theorem, we can get the claim. ☐

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Since the upper bound is straightforward, we focus on the proof that $\sigma^2(t)\ge C_1t^2$ in a neighbourhood of 0. For this we use a slight modification of the arguments given in [5].

From **AI**, there exists $T_0>0$ such that for all $T\ge T_0$ we have $\sigma(T)>0$ and $\dot{\sigma^2}(T)>0$.

Observe that

$$\sigma(T_0)\sigma(t)\ge\mathbb{E}\left(X(T_0)X(t)\right)\ge 2^{-1}\left(\sigma^2(T_0)-\sigma^2(|T_0-t|)\right).$$

Thus, by Taylor expansion, with $\rho_t\in(0,t)$ (and $t>0$ small), we get

$$\sigma^2(T)-\sigma^2(T-t)=\dot{\sigma^2}(T-\rho_t)t\le 2\sigma(T)\sigma(t),$$

which implies that $\sigma^2(t)\ge\left(\frac{\dot{\sigma^2}(T_0)}{4\sigma(T_0)}\right)^2t^2$ in a neighbourhood of zero. ☐

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Recall that $\sigma_u(\tau)=\frac{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta})(1+c\tau^\beta)}$. By UCT (see, e.g., Theorem 1.5.2 in [3]) we have that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sigma_u(\tau)=\frac{\tau^{\alpha_\infty}}{1+c\tau^\beta}=g(\tau)\tag{35}$$

holds uniformly on $(0,S]$ for any $S>0$. Moreover $\tau^*=\left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(\beta-\alpha_\infty)}\right)^{1/\beta}$ is the unique maximizer of $g(\tau)$. Further, by Potter's theorem in (see, e.g., [3]), for any $0<\epsilon<\beta-\alpha_\infty$ there exists a constant $u_\epsilon>0$ such that for all $\tau>1$ and $u>u_\epsilon$, we have

$$\sigma_u(\tau)\le\frac{(1+\epsilon)\tau^{\alpha_\infty+\epsilon}}{1+c\tau^\beta}\to 0,\tag{36}$$

as $\tau\to\infty$. Combing (35) with (36) we conclude that there exist S_1,S_2 such that for sufficiently large u the maximum of $\sigma_u(\tau)$ is attained in $[S_1,S_2]$ with $0<S_1<\tau^*<S_2<\infty$. Moreover, by **AI**,

$$\dot{\sigma_u}(\tau)\Rightarrow\dot{g}(\tau),\quad \ddot{\sigma}_u(\tau)\Rightarrow\ddot{g}(\tau),\quad \tau\in[S_1,S_2]\tag{37}$$

and $\ddot{g}(\tau^*)<0$.

The above implies that, for each sufficiently large u, there exists unique τ_u such that $\tau_u\to\tau^*$ as $u\to\infty$, $\dot{\sigma_u}(\tau_u)=0$ and $\ddot{\sigma}_u(\tau_u)<0$. This implies that τ_u is the unique maximizer of $\sigma_u(\tau)$, for sufficiently large u.

It is straightforward to check that

$$\frac{g(\tau)}{g(\tau^*)} = 1 - \frac{B}{2A}(\tau - \tau^*)^2(1 + o(1)), \quad \tau \to \tau^*,$$

which combined with (35) and (37) yields (11). □

Proof of Lemma 5.4. By direct calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - r_u(s, t, s_1, t_1) = & \\ = & \frac{2\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s-t))\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1)) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s-t_1)) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t))}{2\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s-t))\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1))} \\ = & \frac{D_u^{(1)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) - D_u^{(2)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) + D_u^{(3)}(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{2\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s-t))\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1))}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_u^{(1)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) &= \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|), \\ D_u^{(2)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) &= \Big(\sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s-t) - \sigma(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1))\Big)^2, \\ D_u^{(3)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) &= \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s-t)) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t_1)) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s-t_1)) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t)). \end{aligned}$$

Due to UCT, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta})t^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}t)} \Rightarrow t^{2-2\alpha_\infty}, \quad t \in (0, S],\ S > 0. \tag{38}$$

It follows from mean value theorem and (38) that for $|t - t_1| \le \delta_u$, $s - t, s_1 - t_1 \in E(u)$, with $\theta \in E(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_u^{(2)}(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{D_u^{(1)}(s,t,s_1,t_1)} &= \frac{\left(u^{1/\beta}\dot{\sigma}(u^{1/\beta}\theta)(s-s_1-t+t_1)\right)^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)} \sim \frac{\alpha_\infty^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\theta)(s-s_1-t+t_1)^2}{\theta^2\left(\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)\right)} \\ &\le \frac{2\alpha_\infty^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\theta)((s-s_1)^2 + (t-t_1)^2)}{\theta^2\left(\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)\right)} \\ &\le \frac{2\alpha_\infty^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\theta)(s-s_1)^2}{\theta^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|s-s_1|)} + \frac{2\alpha_\infty^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}\theta)(t-t_1)^2}{\theta^2\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t-t_1|)} \to 0, \quad u \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Using Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_u^{(3)}(s,t,s_1,t_1) &= u^{1/\beta}\dot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(s-t_1))(t_1-t) + \frac{1}{2}u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_1)(t-t_1)^2 \\ &\quad + u^{1/\beta}\dot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(s_1-t))(t-t_1) + \frac{1}{2}u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_2)(t-t_1)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_1)(t-t_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_2)(t-t_1)^2 \\ &\quad + u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_3)(t_1-t)(s-s_1+t-t_1) \\ &\le u^{2/\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_1) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_2) + 2\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_3)\right)(t-t_1)^2 \\ &\quad + 2u^{2/\beta}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}\theta_3)(s-s_1)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 and θ_3 are some positive constants satisfying $\frac{\tau^*}{2} < \theta_i < \frac{3}{2}\tau^*, i = 1, 2, 3$, for u sufficiently large. Similarly, in the light of (38), for $|t - t_1| \le \delta_u, s - t, s_1 - t_1 \in E(u)$,

$$\frac{D_u^{(3)}(s, t, s_1, t_1)}{D_u^{(1)}(s, t, s_1, t_1)} \to 0, \quad u \to \infty. \tag{40}$$

Hence, the combination of (39) and (40) implies the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Substituting s and s_1 by $t + \tau$ and $t_1 + \tau_1$ respectively yields

$$\begin{aligned} & r_u(t + \tau, t, t_1 + \tau_1, t_1) \\ = & \frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t - t_1 + \tau|) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t_1 - t + \tau_1|) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t - t_1 + \tau - \tau_1|) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}|t - t_1|)}{2\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $t_1 > t$ and $t_1 - t > R$ with R a large enough positive constant. Using Taylor expansion at point $t_1 - t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t - \tau)) + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \tau_1)) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \tau_1 - \tau)) - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t)) \\ = & \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t)) - \dot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t))u^{1/\beta}\tau + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_1(u)))u^{2/\beta}\tau^2 \\ & + \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t)) + \dot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t))u^{1/\beta}\tau_1 + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_2(u)))u^{2/\beta}\tau_1^2 \\ & - \left(\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t)) + \dot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t))u^{1/\beta}(\tau_1 - \tau) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_3(u)))u^{2/\beta}(\tau_1 - \tau)^2\right) \\ & - \sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t)) \\ = & \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_1(u)))u^{2/\beta}\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_2(u)))u^{2/\beta}\tau_1^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta_3(u))u^{2/\beta}(\tau_1 - \tau)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_i(u), i = 1, 2, 3$ are some constant satisfying $|\theta_i(u)| \le 2\tau^*, i = 1, 2, 3$ for u large enough. Further, by **AI**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta))u^{2/\beta}}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_1)}\right| & = \left|\frac{\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta))u^{2/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta)^2}{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta))}\frac{\sigma^2(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta))}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_1)(t_1 - t + \theta)^2}\right| \\ & \le \mathbb{Q}2\alpha_\infty|2\alpha_\infty - 1|\frac{(t_1 - t + \theta)^{2\alpha_\infty + \epsilon}}{(\tau^*)^{2\alpha_\infty + \epsilon}(t_1 - t + \theta)^2} \\ & \le \frac{\mathbb{Q}_1}{(t_1 - t + \theta)^{2 - 2\alpha_\infty - \epsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_1$ are two fixed positive constants, $|\theta| \le 2\tau^*$ and $0 < \epsilon < 2 - 2\alpha_\infty$. Thus we have, as $R \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\ddot{\sigma^2}(u^{1/\beta}(t_1 - t + \theta))u^{2/\beta}}{\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau)\sigma(u^{1/\beta}\tau_1)} \Rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that for u large enough, $|t - t_1| > R, \tau, \tau_1 \in E(u)$

$$r_u(t + \tau, t, t_1 + \tau_1, t_1) \Rightarrow 0, \quad R \to \infty.$$

Next we concentrate on the case of $|t - t_1| \le R, \tau, \tau_1 \in E(u)$ with R a positive constant. Applying UCT, we have

$$r_u(t + \tau, t, t_1 + \tau_1, t_1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{|t - t_1 + \tau|^{2\alpha_\infty} + |t_1 - t + \tau_1|^{2\alpha_\infty} - |t - t_1 + \tau - \tau_1|^{2\alpha_\infty} - |t - t_1|^{2\alpha_\infty}}{2\tau^{\alpha_\infty}\tau_1^{\alpha_\infty}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(|1+\frac{t-t_1}{\sqrt{\tau\tau_1}}|^{2\alpha_\infty}+|1+\frac{t_1-t}{\sqrt{\tau\tau_1}}|^{2\alpha_\infty}-2|\frac{t-t_1}{\sqrt{\tau\tau_1}}|^{2\alpha_\infty}\right)=f(x),$$

with $\frac{t-t_1}{\sqrt{\tau\tau_1}}=x$. It is straightforward to check that $\sup_{x\in[\delta,\infty)}|f(x)|<1$ for any $\delta>0$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements We are thankful to Enkelejd Hashorva for proposing the topic of this paper and various related discussions. We also kindly acknowledge partial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation Project 200021-140633/1, and the project RARE -318984 (an FP7 Marie Curie IRSES Fellowship). KD also acknowledges partial support by NCN Grant No 2013/09/B/ST1/01778 (2014-2016).

References

[1] R.J. Adler and J.E. Taylor. *Random fields and geometry*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2007.

[2] J. M. P. Albin and G. Samorodnitsky. On overload in a storage model, with a self-similar and infinitely divisible input. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(2):820–844, 2004.

[3] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[4] K. Dębicki and K. M. Kosiński. On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion. *Extremes*, 17(3):431–446, 2014.

[5] K. Dębicki. Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 98(1):151–174, 2002.

[6] A. B. Dieker. Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(2):207–248, 2005.

[7] E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and V.I. Piterbarg. On the supremum of γ-reflected processes with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(11):4111–4127, 2013.

[8] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(2):257–271, 1999.

[9] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. Limit theorem for maximum of the storage process with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 114(2):231–250, 2004.

[10] M.R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzén. *Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes*, volume 11. Springer Verlag, 1983.

[11] P. Liu, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. On the γ-reflected processes with fBm input. *Lithuanian Math J., in press*, 2015.

[12] I. Norros. A storage model with self-similar input. *Queueing Systems Theory Appl.*, 16(3-4):387–396, 1994.

[13] V.I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[14] V.I. Piterbarg. Large deviations of a storage process with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Extremes*, 4(2):147–164, 2001.

[15] Edgar Reich. On the integrodifferential equation of Takács. I. *Ann. Math. Statist*, 29:563–570, 1958.

Krzysztof Dębicki, Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: `Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl`

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China and Department of Actuarial Science, University of Lausanne, UNIL-Dorigny 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
E-mail address: `peng.liu@unil.ch`